

## Problem 1: Bertrand Duopoly Game with Entry

Suppose an incumbent firm faces the possibility of entry by a challenger. The challenger moves first and decides whether to enter and pay entry cost,  $f > 0$ , or to stay out. If the challenger enters, the incumbent may acquiesce and the two firms split the monopoly profit. If the incumbent fights, they play a static Bertrand game and earn equilibrium profits from that game. Formulate the situation as an extensive form game and find the Sub-game Perfect Nash Equilibria. Remember that an equilibrium is a set of strategies, one for each player, that explicitly states what the players will do at all possible nodes of the game. Assume demand:  $P(Q) = \alpha - Q$  and costs:  $C(q) = cq$  for the incumbent and  $C(q) = cq - f$  for the challenger, with  $\alpha > c$ .

## Problem 2: Smith/Brown

Consider the 2 cases in tables 1 and 2:

		Brown	
		L	R
Smith	T	1,1	0,0
	B	0,0	0,0

Table 1: Case 1

In this game, nature first determines if the payoffs are as in case 1 or as in case 2. Both cases are equally likely. Then Smith learns whether nature has drawn case 1 or case 2, but Brown does not. Smith chooses T or B and Brown simultaneously chooses L

		Brown	
		L	R
Smith	T	0,0	0,0
	B	0,0	2,2

Table 2: Case 2

or R. Find all the pure-strategy Bayesian Nash equilibria of the game.

### Problem 3: First-Price Sealed-Bid

Consider a first-price sealed-bid auction in which  $N$  bidders' valuations are uniformly distributed between 0 and 1. That is:

$$v_i \sim U[0, 1] \quad \forall i = 1 \dots N. \tag{1}$$

Furthermore, assume the  $v_i$  are all independent. Show that in a Bayesian Nash equilibrium, each player will bid  $(N - 1)/N$  times her valuation. [Hints: assume the equilibrium bidding function is linear:  $b_i(v_i) = c_i v_i$  where  $c_i$  is a constant. Note that  $Prob(\text{Bidder 1 wins}) = Prob(b_1 > b_2, b_1 > b_3, \dots, b_1 > b_N)$ .]

### Problem 4: Adverse Selection

Firm  $A$  (the “acquiring firm”) is considering taking over firm  $T$  (the “target firm”). It does not know firm  $T$ 's value; but knows its value (under  $T$ 's management) is  $x \sim U[0, 100]$ . That is, the target firm's value is worth between 0 and 100, all values equally likely. Firm  $T$  will be worth 50% more under firm  $A$ 's management than it is worth under its own management. Suppose that firm  $A$  bids  $y$  to take over firm  $T$ . Then if  $T$  accepts  $A$ 's offer,  $A$ 's payoff is  $\frac{3}{2}x - y$  and  $T$ 's payoff is  $y$ . If  $T$  rejects  $A$ 's offer,  $A$ 's payoff is 0 and  $T$ 's payoff is  $x$ .

Model this situation as a Bayesian game in which firm  $A$  chooses how much to offer and firm  $T$  decides the lowest offer to accept. Find the Nash Equilibrium (equilibria?) of the game. Explain why the logic behind the equilibrium is called *adverse selection*.

## Problem 5: Guess the Average

Three players simultaneously pick a point in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . The player closest to the average of the three points wins \$1. If there is a tie, then the dollar is split equally among the winners. More formally, the players simultaneously choose strategies  $s_i$  from  $S_i = [0, 1]$ . The average of their choices is  $\bar{s} = (s_1 + s_2 + s_3)/3$ . Player  $i$ 's payoff function is:

$$U_i(s_1 + s_2 + s_3) = \begin{cases} 1/t & \text{if } i \in \operatorname{argmin}_j |s_j - \bar{s}| \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $t$  is the number of players who tie (their choices are equally close to the average). (If only one player is closest to the average, then  $t = 1$  and that player wins the entire \$1.)

- What are the pure-strategy equilibria of this game?
- What are the mixed-strategy equilibria if the possible strategies are limited to playing 0 or 1, rather than  $[0, 1]$ ?